

No.	Place in the Guide for Applicant	Initial	Modified	Rationale
1	Guide for Applicants Chapter 1.3 Programme implementation structure page 11	Managing Authority (MA) – the Romanian Ministry of Regional Development and Public Administration...	Managing Authority (MA) – the Romanian Ministry of Regional Development, Public Administration and European Funds...	The name of the institution has been modified.
2	Guide for Applicants Chapter 5.2 Contracting page 47	The subsidy contracts will be signed by the MA and by the Lead Beneficiaries, while the co-financing contracts will be signed by MRDPA and Romanian Beneficiaries.	The subsidy contracts will be signed by the MA and by the Lead Beneficiaries, while the co-financing contracts will be signed by MRDPAEF and Romanian Beneficiaries.	The name of the institution has been modified.
3	Guide for Applicants Chapter 5.2 Contracting page 47	The JS shall prepare and submit to the MA the subsidy contracts and the co-financing contracts for the Romanian Beneficiaries, within 15 working days after the date of the on-site visit.	The JS shall prepare and submit to the MA the subsidy contracts with Lead Beneficiaries, within 15 working days after the date of the on-site visit/ receiving the complete contractings documentations.	According to the provisions of contracting procedure.
4	Guide for Applicants Annex III.2 General Matrix of Costs RO-HU	For RO applicants: In compliance with the applicable national regulations for public institutions.	For RO applicants: In compliance with the applicable national regulations for public institutions. For any other bodies than public	According to the provisions of the

	<p>Travel and accommodation (sheet) row 29 row 30</p>	<p>For any other bodies than public institutions, the maximum amounts allowed are the ceilings for public institutions multiplied by 2.5.</p>	<p>institutions, the maximum amounts allowed are the ceilings for public institutions multiplied by 2.5. For HU applicants: In compliance with the applicable national regulations or in case of institution where national rules shall not apply in line with internal rules of the organization.</p>	<p>national legislation in RO and HU.</p>
<p>5</p>	<p>Guide for Applicants Chapter 2.2.1.3 Eligibility of costs/expenditure Page 30</p>	<p>Additional rules for Preparation costs: - Only costs for mandatory certificates / permits in order to comply with the rules of the present Call will be eligible preparation costs under <i>Infrastructure and works budget line</i> (e.g. urban planning certificate).</p>	<p>Under this budget line, only the costs for mandatory certificates/permits, in order to comply with the rules of the present Call, will be accepted (e.g. urban planning certificate). Only in case of road infrastructure, under Ip 6/c, the costs of purchase/expropriations may be included in the budget, up to 5% of the ERDF estimated costs for the relevant investment object. Please note that the market price of a property (land), in case of road construction, will be established exclusively by a professional certificated evaluator. This will be a condition for the eligibility of the acquisition related costs! "</p>	<p>The section <i>Additional rules for Preparation costs</i> was updated, according to the eligibility rules of the Programme.</p>

6	<p>Guide for Applicants Chapter 4.1 Assessment of the project proposals Page 39</p>	<p>Original specimen of signature containing the method of representation of the legally authorized representative(s) of the applicant organizations/institutions certified by a public notary in Original language.</p>	<p>Original specimen of signature containing the method of representation of the legally authorized representative(s) of the applicant organizations/institutions certified by a public notary for HU applicants. Addition: Justifying document stating the method of representation (according to the national legislation) for RO applicants.</p>	<p>The criterion was corrected, according to the specifics of the national legislations.</p>
7	<p>Guide for Applicants Chapter 3.2 List of mandatory Annexes to the Application Form Page 34</p>	<p>Letter of empowerment : For RO applicants: signed and stamped by the legal representative of the applicant institution For HU applicants: Certified by a public notary in original language, if the case.</p>	<p>Letter of empowerment (if the case): For RO applicants: signed and stamped by the legal representative of the applicant institution. For HU applicants: Certified by a public notary.</p>	<p>Material error</p>
8	<p>Guide for Applicants Chapter 4.1 Assessment of the project proposals Page 39</p>	<p>Letter of empowerment, certified by a public notary in original language, if the case.</p>	<p>Letter of empowerment (if the case), for RO applicants: signed and stamped by the legal representative of the applicant institution and for HU applicants: certified by a public notary.</p>	<p>Material error</p>

9	Guide for Applicants ANNEX IV. eMS Application Instructions		Addition of instructions to fill-in different sections of the application form, so that the applicants shall provide the expected information.	The approach of the Programme in terms of the format of the Application form (AF) is new and additional guidelines are important in order to ensure a common understanding regarding the content of the different sections of the AF.
10	ANNEX VI.2 Assessment grids Quality Assessment grid A.1 page 1	Project objectives, expected results and main outputs C.2.1.	Project Focus C2./ Project objectives, expected results and main outputs	According to the correct numbering of the relevant section in the application form.
11	ANNEX VI.2 Assessment grids Quality Assessment grid A.2 page 2	Project relevance C.1.1., C.1.2., C.1.3.	Project relevance C.1	According to the correct numbering of the relevant section in the application form.
12	ANNEX VI.2 Assessment grids Quality Assessment grid A.3 page 3	Project summary, A.2., Project relevance C.1., Target groups C.2.2. statistics, surveys, etc.	Project summary A. Project relevance C.1., Target groups D.2. statistics, surveys, etc.	According to the correct numbering of the relevant section in the application form.

13	ANNEX VI.2 Assessment grids Quality Assessment grid A.4 page 3	Project relevance C.1.4. / Cooperation criteria	Project relevance C.1 / Cooperation criteria	According to the correct numbering of the relevant section in the application form.
14	ANNEX VI.2 Assessment grids Quality Assessment grid A.5 page 3	Target groups C.2.2.	Target groups D.2.	According to the correct numbering of the relevant section in the application form.
15	ANNEX VI.2 Assessment grids Quality Assessment grid A.8 page 6	Project Partners B. Work Plan / Work Packages C.5. Job descriptions and / or ToRs.	Project Partners B. Work Plan / Work Packages D.1. Job descriptions and / or ToRs.	According to the correct numbering of the relevant section in the application form.
16	ANNEX VI.2 Assessment grids Quality Assessment grid B.1 page 7	Project summary A. Project partners B. Work plan / work packages C.5.	Project summary A. Project partners B. Work plan / work packages D.1.	According to the correct numbering of the relevant section in the application form.
17	ANNEX VI.2 Assessment grids Quality Assessment grid B.2 page 7	Work plan / work packages C.5.	Work plan / work packages D.1.	According to the correct numbering of the relevant section in the application form.
18	ANNEX VI.2 Assessment grids	Work plan / work packages C.5., Periods C.5.1.,	Work plan / work packages D.1. , Define Periods D.3. ,	According to the correct numbering of

	Quality Assessment grid B.3 page 7-8	Feasibility Study (if available and submitted), relevant studies/surveys	Feasibility Study (if available and submitted), relevant studies/surveys	the relevant section in the application form.
19	ANNEX VI.2 Assessment grids Quality Assessment grid B.4 page 8	Durability of project, outputs and results C.2.3, Work plan / work packages C.5., Project context C.3., Cooperation criteria C.1.4., relevant studies/surveys	Project Focus - Durability of project, outputs and results C.2 , Work plan / work packages D.1. , Project context C.3., Project Relevance / Cooperation criteria C.1. , relevant studies/surveys	According to the correct numbering of the relevant section in the application form.
20	ANNEX VI.2 Assessment grids Quality Assessment grid B.5 page 9	Work plan / work packages C.5, Project Budget, Feasibility Study (if available and submitted), relevant studies / surveys	Work plan / work packages D.1. , Project Budget, Feasibility Study (if available and submitted), relevant studies / surveys	According to the correct numbering of the relevant section in the application form.
21	Guide for Applicants Chapter 2.2.1.3 Eligibility of costs/expenditure Page 30	-	Attention! In case there are deviations from the estimated amounts for the planned activities, in order to ensure the project's sound financial management and focusing on reaching the envisaged output indicators, the Programme recommends these are of maximum 20%. However, in case such deviations occur, the budget chapters shall not be affected. Be advised that the Application Form is structured based on work-packages, which	Additional recommendation was inserted, to raise attention towards reality of estimated budgets.

			<p>comprise activities and sub-activities, either on project level (WP Preparation, Management and Communication) or on partner level (WP Implementation and Investment). For each activity/sub-activity you shall provide enough details in terms of methodology of implementation, estimated deliverable and indicative budget.</p> <p>If summed-up, all the indicative amounts on activity/sub-activity level equal the related budget chapter, on partner level. Consequently, the summed-up partners' budgets equal the project budget.</p> <p>According to the Subsidy Contract, any modifications of the budget lines (in this case to be read as chapters) will require addenda. However, the subdivisions (activities/sub-activities) budgets can, therefore, as already explained, be modified up to a threshold of maximum 20%.</p>	
--	--	--	--	--

Corrigendum to the Guide for Applicants, Open Call for Proposals – January 2017



EUROPEAN UNION



GOVERNMENT OF ROMANIA



HUNGARIAN
GOVERNMENT