|  | **Need to be addressed with INTERACT/PLOT support** | **ROBG** | **ROHU** | **ROSE** | **BMN** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | **Generation of the AF in.pdf format** – function seems to exist and work (a message is shown in upper right corner of the screen: „PDF print started”), but when you go in ‘Generated files’ to open the .pdf file, there is no document saved there. Do we need special settings in e-MS and where?  *Update 26.02.16:*  **Function “Compare” is also not working** in the system – no modification operated in the AF is shown.  *INTERACT a raspuns ROBG ca este o chestiune ce tine de configurari, fiind necesara expertiza IT pt a fi rezolvata.* [You need to specify your –pdf fist. You can either do it yourself (IT expertise needed) or use PLOT support or any other external support.]  *Se va cere in saptamana 26.02-4.03 consultanta online pt rezolvarea problemei si a altor problem legate de instalarea aplicatiei. TeamViewer instalat si finctional pe laptop Mihai.* | X | X | X | X |
| 2 | **Start and End dates in section Workplan – Implementation WP –** when start date is filled in first and than end date with a different year than the start date, the start date is being reset.  When end date is filled in first and start date afterwards, the system keeps the data as it is filled-in by the user.  *Nu este transmis inca la INTERACT* | X | X |  |  |
| 3 | **Flat rate calculation for Office and Administration budgetary line -**  Interreg V-A ROBG is applying flat rate = % of direct costs [**art. 68, a) of Reg. 1303**].  More specific, a flat rate of max. 5 % applied to: *1.Travel and accommodation + 2.External expertise and services + 3.Equipment expenditure + 4.Infrastructure and works and 5.Staff costs (only in case real costs option for staff costs is used)*  *Update 26.02.2016 – solutie posibila oferita ROBG: INTERACT discuta cu PLOT ca in urmatoarea versiune a sistemului sa se permita introducerea ratei pt Office cu 3 caractere si 10 zecimale, in asa fel incat sa poata fi calculate in sistem rata de office ca % din staff.* | X |  | ? |  |
| 4 | **Preparation cost** **without generation of flat rates**  *Update 26.02.2016 - INTERACT discuta cu PLOT ca in urmatoarea versiune a sistemului sa fie posibil acest lucru.*  *Cel mai probabil nu vom avea linie bugetara separate pt Project preparation, asa cum am solicitat.* | X |  |  |  |
| 5 | Configuration for all types of users for each programme | X | X | X | X |
| 6 | **Contribution of the AF/project to achievement of the programme result indicators**. The system is not asking now for / measuring the (quantitative) contribution of an application to the selected programme result indicator. How can this be implemented in eMS?  *Intrebarea a fost adresata la seminar in 10-11.02.2016 – nu s-a trimis inca email la INTERACT in acest sens* | X | X |  |  |
| 7 | **Use of Weight in checklists with scores**  What is the weight that must be used in order for a score awarded for a question to be considered as such in the total?  E.g.: for a question with min 0 points and max 13 points (out of total 100 points for 28 questions with different min and max), in case 3 points are awarded, is not 3 points going in the checklist total.  *Update 26.02.2016 – INTERACT a confirmat ROBG eroarea din sistem, precum si faptul ca are prioritate pt a fi rezolvata pt urmatorul update.* | X | X |  |  |
| 8 | **The method used by the system for showing only 2 decimals as calculation results is not clear**. Is it a rounding to 2 decimals as in Excell or a truncation or maybe something else?  We need this information for our internal procedures/implementation manuals. | X | X |  |  |
| 9 | **Calls for TA beneficiaries** – can the access to calls for TA be limited to only the TA beneficiaries? | ? | X |  | X |
| 10 | **What privileges do we have to select for the assessors/evaluators role in order only to see and submit the checklists without being given the privilege of “Final Check” (see attachment)?**  Currently, an assessor user has to be given the privilege "SUBMIT EVALUATION" in order to have access and see the checklist, but can also have access to the Final Check. If privilege "SUBMIT\_EVALUATION" is removed from assessors/evaluators role, the evalutors can’t access the checklist. If the privilege "SUBMIT\_EVALUATION" is added to assessors/evaluators role, the evaluators can access the checklist, but can also make the consolidated evaluation.  However, in our case only the Assessment Group President’s has to have privilege to make a “Final Check” for the assessment of an application and the assessors shouldn't have this option available.  *Update 26.02.2016 : Intrebare adresata INTERACT* | X | X |  |  |
| 11 | **Error/difference in calculation of the co-financing amount in the "Partner contribution" section.**  e.g. 85% ERDF of 4082.82 = 3470.3970 and the system is showing 3470.55. Also, State Budget and Partner Contribution should be (15% of 4082.82 =) 612.423 and the system is showing 612.27.  *Update 29.02.2016 : Intrebare adresata INTERACT* | X | X |  |  |
| 12 | **We have a FLC report/certificate which goes to the Lead Beneficiary. What happens if during the legal term, one of the partners contests the FLC report/certificate? How is this set up in the e-MS?** |  | X |  |  |
|  | **Export of the list of expenditures in xls. reveals that the figures from excel are different from those shown in the system** |  |  |  |  |