



			PIM Modification	12.09.2019	
Nr. Crt.	Position	Object of modification	Original text	Modified text	Observation
1.	Abbreviation s	Deletion of the Reimbursement claim from the list of abbreviations	RC Reimbursement Claim	-	Harmonization of used terminology
2.	Ch.2, 2.1 Pre- contracting stage	Content modification	The notification letter will also include the invitation for the LA to express their consent to enter a Subsidy Contract. The JS will verify if the LA respected and modified the application according to the recommendations.	The notification letter will also include the invitation for the LA to express their consent to accept the financing and to indicate in the specific section of eMS the user that will act as LB for the project in the electronic system (eMS). The JS will verify if the LA respected and modified the application according to the recommendations.	Procedures to be implemented in the eMS in the pre-contracting phase.
3.	Ch.2, 2.2, page 10	Content modification	Attention! The starting date for the eligibility of expenditures, except for preparation costs, is the next day following the receipt by the LA of MC Decision regarding the approval of the project. This means that beneficiaries can proceed with expenditures, which are eligible from this date, provided the subsidy contract is signed with the Managing Authority.	eligibility of expenditures, except for	Clarify that the expenditure incurred outside the project implementation period is not eligible.





	Eu	ropean Regional Development Fund			
				<i>the project implementation period stated in the Subsidy Contract.</i>	
4.	Ch.2, 2.2, page 13	Discarded/delet ed text	Note: Please note that after signing the subsidy contract, the LB has 10 working days , starting from the signing date, in order to scan and upload the contracts with all the annexes in the electronic system, in the "Attachments" section of the Application.		Correlation with MA Instruction no.5 modified by Instruction no.6
5.	Ch.2, 2.2, page 14	Content modification	Note: Please note that after signing the co-financing contracts, the LB has 10 working days, starting from the signing date, in order to scan and upload the cofinancing	all the annexes in the electronic system, in the "Attachments" section of the Application. Also, the LB has the obligation	Correlation with MA Instruction no.5 modified by Instruction no.6
6.	Ch.2, 2.2 and 2.4, pages 16 and 18	Content modification	After the subsidy contract has been signed by both parties, the Romanian beneficiaries will be able to sign the co-financing contracts with MRDPA - please see chapter 2.3 of this Manual. For Hungarian beneficiaries	this Manual. For Hungarian beneficiaries	Administrative change at the level of NA.





Ĵ

	Eu	ropean Regional Development Fund			
			the SZPO, on behalf of the Prime Minister's Office, will sign the co- financing contracts. The process is described in chapter 2.4 of this Manual.	Foreign Affairs and Trade, will sign the co- financing contracts. The process is described in chapter 2.4 of this Manual.	
7.	Ch.2, 2.3, page 17-18		original and shall stipulate the percentage, The MA will	The indicative template for the Advance Request and Financial	The indicative templates for advance payments were uploaded on the website of the Programme.
8.	Ch.2, 2.5, page 17-18 Table no.1. Possible modificatio ns	Merging the Notification with approval with the Notification without approval	Notification without approval Notification with approval	Notification with approval	The two types of Notifications follow the same procedure of approving the newly generated AF in the eMS.





 Discarded/delet ed text Change of the bank account of the ed text Change of the bank account of the LB-No "Modification Request" needed in eMS; A change of format of a single activity- "Modification Request" needed in eMS; Technical modifications of documents elaborated during the implementation of the project (feasibility study, DAIW for RO applicants and Feasibility Study/ Documentations for approval of intervention works for HU applicants)- No "Modification Request" needed in eMS; Ch.2, 2.5, Discarded/delet In case there is an "in progress" 	tha
in eMS; - A change of format of a single activity- "Modification Request" needed in eMS; - Technical modifications of documents elaborated during the implementation of the project (feasibility study, DAIW for RO applicants and Feasibility Study/ Documentations for approval of intervention works for HU applicants)- No "Modification Request" needed in eMS;	uie
 A change of format of a single A change of format of a single activity- "Modification Request" needed in eMS; Technical modifications of documents elaborated during the implementation of the project (feasibility study, DAIW norder to be Study/ Documentations for approval of intervention works for HU applicants)- No "Modification Request" needed in eMS; 	
activity- "Modification Request" needed in eMS; - Technical modifications of documents elaborated during the implementation of the project (feasibility study, DAIW for RO applicants and Feasibility Study/ Documentations for approval of intervention works for HU applicants)- No "Modification Request" needed in eMS;	
needed in eMS; - Technical modifications of documents elaborated during the implementation of the project (feasibility study, DAIW for RO applicants and Feasibility Study/ Documentations for approval of intervention works for HU applicants)- No "Modification Request" needed in eMS;	tains
- Technical modifications of documents elaborated during the implementation of the project (feasibility study, DAIW for RO applicants and Feasibility Study/ Documentations for approval of intervention works for HU applicants)- No "Modification Request" needed in eMS;	ation
documents elaborated during the implementation of the project (feasibility study, DAIW for RO applicants and Feasibility Study/ Documentations for approval of intervention works for HU applicants)- No "Modification Request" needed in eMS;	bank
the implementation of the project (feasibility study, DAIW for RO applicants and Feasibility Study/ Documentations for approval of intervention works for HU applicants)- No "Modification Request" needed in eMS;	JS
project (feasibility study, DAIW not clear what it refers for RO applicants and Feasibility In order to be Study/ Documentations for flexible and to present to be approval of intervention works bottlenecks. for HU applicants)- No "Modification Request" needed in eMS; in eMS;	
for RO applicants and Feasibility Study/ Documentations for approval of intervention works for HU applicants)- No "Modification Request" needed in eMS;	ať is
Study/ Documentations for approval of intervention works for HU applicants)- No "Modification Request" needed in eMS; flexible and to pre- bottlenecks.	s to.
approval of intervention works for HU applicants)- No "Modification Request" needed in eMS;	nore
for HU applicants)- No "Modification Request" needed in eMS;	vent
"Modification Request" needed in eMS;	
in eMS;	
9. <i>Ch.2, 2.5,</i> Discarded/delet In case there is an "in progress" - Due to the eMS up	
	date,
page 20 ed text Report currently running in the eMS, the issue does not lo	nger
it will be automatically deleted when exist.	
any Modification Request is being	
initiated in the system. Also, work on	
a new partner/ project progress	
report is no longer possible until the	
Modification Request is being	
approved in the system.	
If the case, you will be notified	
accordingly.	





	Eu	ropean Regional Development Fund			
10.	Ch.2, 2.5, page 21 and 22 for both with and without approval	Content modification	The modification should be initiated in writing via email (hard copy if necessary) by LB, which sends to the JS the Notification of modification and all supporting documents (scanned and/or originals).	the Lead beneficiary via eMS in the Modification request overview section. The Lead beneficiary shall fill in the	The sentence is not necessary after explaining that any modification of the subsidy contract implies modification of the co-financing contract. Due to the eMS update.
11.	Ch.2, 2.5, page 21	Content modification	The Notification of modification and all supporting documents must be submitted in maximum 5 working days from the date of the change.	-	Correlation with MA Instruction no.5 modified by Instruction no.6







		ropean Regional Development Fund			
12.	Ch.2, 2.5, page 21, introduced in page 24	Content modification	After notifying and contacting the JS, the LB has to operate/ask JS permission to operate the changes in eMS and upload the Notification for modification and all supporting documents in "Attachments" section of the Application Form, in maximum 3 working days.	After receiving JS permission, the LB has to operate the necessary modifications on the Application Form in the eMS system in maximum 3 working days. The necessary steps to operate modifications in the eMS system are detailed in "Reporting in eMS Manual for Lead Partners and Project Partners".	Due to the eMS update.
13.	Ch.2, 2.5, page 21	Content modification	Afterwards, the JS will allow the LB to operate the necessary modifications on the Application Form in the eMS system. The necessary steps to operate modifications in the eMS system are detailed in "Reporting in eMS Manual for Lead Partners and Project Partners".	-	Due to the eMS update and in order to avoid duplication.
14.	Ch.2, 2.5, page 21 and 24	Content modification	The modification is considered valid starting from the date the change has occurred.	Attention! All the modifications to the Application Form have to be initiated by the Lead beneficiary before the costs related to the activities affected by the changes are submitted for validation to the FLC in a partner report in the end of a reporting period.	Due to the modification request process. Correlation with MA Instruction no.5 modified by Instruction no.6
15.	Ch.2, 2.5, page 22	Content modification	The modification should be initiated in writing via email (hard copy if	-	Due to the eMS update.







-	24	ropean Regional Development Fund			
			necessary) by LB, which sends to the JS the Request for modification and all supporting documents (scanned and/or originals).		
16.	Ch.2, 2.5, page 22	Content modification	The Request for modification and all supporting documents must be submitted in maximum 10 working days before they intend to produce effects	-	Correlation with MA Instruction no.5 modified by Instruction no.6
17.	Ch.2, 2.5, page 22	Content modification	The Request for modification should include a justification for the changes, an explanation on their consequences for the project implementation, the solution proposed to tackle them and avoid similar deviations in the future, where applicable, and also the date the modification is intended to produce effects. The Request for modification has to be based on the latest approved Application Form.	The Request for modification should include a justification for the changes, an explanation on their consequences for the project implementation, the solution proposed to tackle them and avoid similar deviations in the future. The Request for modification has to be based on the latest approved Application Form.	Correlation with MA Instruction no.5 modified by Instruction no.6
18.	Ch.2, 2.5, page 22	Discarded/delet ed text	Attention! In case of investment projects, please be informed that it is mandatory to present/submit to the JS the Technical Documentation within 5 working days from the date when the	-	The JS has no expertise to evaluate such changes.





European Regional Development Fund		
	document was delivered by the designer	
	and accepted by the beneficiary.	
	The Technical Documentation shall be	
	accompanied by a statement	
	regarding either that there are no	
	technical modifications compared with	
	the documents within the approved AF	
	(feasibility study, DAIW for RO	
	applicants and Feasibility	
	Study/Documentations for approval of	
	intervention works for HU applicants),	
	or that there are modifications which	
	shall be presented in detail and with	
	proper justifications.	
	Examples of modifications that have	
	to be specified in the beneficiary's	
	statement:	
	- Modifications of the	
	functionality of the investment	
	or of the designed	
	spaces/rooms within the	
	construction, etc.;	
	- Modification of the	
	functional/technological/const	
	ructive solutions;	
	- modification of the technical-	
	economic indicators of the	
	investments;	





- Modification regarding	
measurements of the	
investment (for example	
modification of the length of	
the road to be modernized,	
modification of rooms of a	
building that shall be	
constructed, etc.).	
- Modification in the number of	
equipment to be acquired (for	
example, adding a new item of	
equipment, 4 pieces instead of	
3), without changing the	
budget allocation under"	
Equipment" costs line at the	
partner level.	
- Modification of the project	
team by adding a new	
member/position, without	
changing the budget	
allocation under Staff costs	
and the original hourly wage	
rate, if the case, at the partner	
level.	
In case the minimum technical	
characteristics are lower, compared	
to those mentioned within the	





	Eu	ropean Regional Development Fund			
			approved application form , an addendum and the due justification shall be required. Attention! The above list is not exhaustive and all modifications changing the initial conditions set within the preliminary design phases shall be notified as mentioned above. Also, please bear in mind that the same obligations apply for beneficiaries who submitted the Technical documents along with the initial Application form, and an update of these Technical documents has occurred during the implementation period.	-	
19.	Ch.2, 2.5, page 23	Content modification	In case of Budgetary reallocations within one budgetary line over the limit of 20%, without changing the total amount of that budgetary line or Budgetary reallocations between budgetary lines in the limit of 20% of each affected budgetary line, several cumulative conditions must be met as follows	In case of Budgetary reallocations within one budgetary line over the limit of 20%, without changing the total amount of that budgetary line or Budgetary reallocations between budgetary lines in the limit of EUR 5,000.00 or 20% of each budgetary line, whichever is greater, several cumulative conditions must be met as follows:	Correlation with the Table no.1. Possible modifications page 17







20.	Ch.2, 2.5,		In maximum 3 working days upon		Due to the eMS update.
20.	For without and with approval	Content modification	receipt of approval of the Request of modification on paper, the LB has to ask JS for permission to operate the changes in eMS and upload the Notification for modification and all supporting documents in "Attachments" section of the Application Form. The necessary steps to operate the modification in the eMS are detailed in "Reporting in eMS Manual for Lead Partners and Project Partners".		
21.	Ch.2, 2.5, page 24	Content modification	The JS has the right to refuse the requested modifications (or part of them) for which justification was not provided and which were not considered acceptable.	The JS may refuse the requested modifications (fully or partially) for which appropriate justification was not provided and which were not considered acceptable after two rounds of clarification requests with a deadline for response of 5 and 3 working days.	Clarification on the limit of requests for clarification acceptable.
22.	Ch.2, 2.5, page 24	Content modification	-	The beneficiaries are recommended to up-date the application in the eMS system, with the support of the Joint Secretariat, any time relevant	Speed-up measures to reduce time of processing modifications.





				modifications of the calendar/cash-flow occur during the implementation process. Specifically, activities and/or costs incurred other than initially planned are to be up-dated in the application (not conditioned by ex-ante approval of the JS).	
23.	Ch.2, 2.5, page 24	Content modification	The electronic version of the Application Form from the eMS prevails the paper/pdf version of the Application Form.	Please note that the Application Form PDF generated by the system is a general template for all calls for proposals of the Programme and all possible call configurations and its content may differ from what you see on the screen (eMS interface). Therefore, the electronic version of the Application Form from the eMS interface prevails over the pdf version of the Application Form.	Clarification on why the eMS prevails over the PDF version of the AF.
24.	Ch.2, 2.5, page 21 and 24	Content modification	Attention! Any extension of the 3 working days deadline, until the end date of the reporting period, is possible based on JS approval, when a "Modification request" in eMS is necessary and especially if there is a Partner/Project Progress Report in progress in the system and the	-	Due to the eMS update.





		ropean Regional Development Fund			
			approved contract modifications have no impact on the respective Report. The JS verifies if the modification and supporting documents uploaded in the system correspond with the ones already checked on paper and, if so, it approves them in the system.		
25.	Ch.2, 2.5, page 24	Content modification	Attention! Any extension of this deadline (3 working days) until the end date of the reporting period is possible based on JS approval, when a "Modification request" in eMS is necessary and especially if there is a Partner/Project Progress Report in progress in the system and the approved contract modifications have no impact on the respective Report.		Due to the eMS update.
26.	Ch.2, 2.5, page 21 and 24	Content modification	Attention! The approved modification is considered valid from the date in which it was approved by the JS. We strongly recommend that only one notification to be submitted during each reporting period. The notification must	Attention! The modification is considered valid only after the Application Form is finally approved by the JS. <i>We strongly recommend to limit the number of modifications requested during a reporting</i>	Due to the modification request process.





Ilungarian Government

27.	Ch.2, 2.5, page 25	Content modification	be previously agreed by all partners involved. The SC modification procedure should be initiated in writing via email (hard copy if necessary) by LB, which sends to the JS the Request for modification and all supporting documents (scanned and/or originals).	period. The notification must be previously agreed among all partners involved. The modification should be initiated by the Lead beneficiary via eMS in the Modification request overview section. The Lead beneficiary shall fill in the Modification request template, that can be found on the Programme's website and have it signed by the legal representative of the institution. The Modification request and all supporting documents shall be uploaded in the new Request for modification in the eMS and submit them to the JS for verification. An e-mail must be sent to the responsible JS monitor to signal the request for modification.	Due to the eMS update.
28.	Ch.2, 2.5, page 25, footnote	Discarded/delet ed text	12 Extension of the implementation period, changes in the partnership, and substantial changes in the content of the project are not allowed during the Concept Note implementation phase (where the case), therefore	-	MC Decision 65







					1
			only those described at		
			paragraphs c) and d) are possible.		
29.	Ch.2, 2.5,	Content	Steps to be followed in cases of	Clarifications and additional documents,	To correlate PIM with the
	page 27	modification	Partnership amendment and	if necessary, may be requested by JS	eMS.
	Steps to be	modification	verification process:	during the eligibility verification process.	To restructure the info, to
	followed in		In the first stage, the Lead Beneficiary	Following the JS analysis if the new	make it clearer.
	cases of		will submit a Request for amendment	Partner is eligible based on the	
	Partnership		through the eMS supported at least	provisions from GfA, the JS will allow the	
	amendment		by the following documents. In the	LB to update the Application Form.	
	and		first stage, the Lead Beneficiary will	JS will draft a report that will be submitted	
	verification		submit a Request for partnership	to the MA together with all relevant	
	process		amendment, and at least the	supporting documents After the MA	
			following documents should	consent, the JS will submit the request for	
			accompany the request, in 2 originals	partnership amendment to the MC.	
			/ 2 copies marked "according to	After MC rejection of the Request for	
			original "(where the case- e.g. legal	partnership amendment, JS will notify the	
			documents):	Lead Beneficiary accordingly.	
			- Note containing information	If the Request for partnership	
			supporting the reasons for	amendment will be approved by MC, the	
			requesting the amendment;		
			- Legal documents of newly	JS will draft the addendum and send it for	
			proposed partner;	signing to the MA.	
			- Financial situation, situation		
			regarding the technical,		
			administrative and human resources		
			capacity (in accordance with the		
			above mentioned) of newly proposed		
			partner;		





- Revised financing application	
form, at least the following sections:	
Applicant information, only for	
the proposed partner and including	
VAT recoverability, type of partner	
(local/public authority), legal status,	
relevance of the beneficiary for the	
field addressed by the project and	
relevant previous financing history of	
the beneficiary – according to the	
format and requirements of the	
Application Form and Applicant Guide	
applicable for the respective project;	
Revised Project activities -	
division of tasks within the new	
partnership (without altering the	
initial approved activity/ies and	
its/their main goal/outputs) –	
according to the format and	
requirements of the Application Form	
and Applicant Guide applicable for	
the respective project;	
Revised Project activities –	
Description of the main equipment	
and services purchased - division of	
tasks within the new partnership	
(without altering the initially	
approved list and Description of main	





pment Fund	
equipment and services purchased,	
with the exception of reducing the list	
in case the new partner declared that	
it already owns the necessary	
equipment/expertise and will use	
them for the project);	
Revised Budget of the	
operation – according to the format	
and requirements of the Application	
Form and Applicant Guide applicable	
for the respective project;	
Other revised sections of the	
application form, if affected by the	
change;	
- Signed decision of the	
partner/partners on the project	
withdrawal;	
- Partnership Declaration in	
accordance with the proposed	
revised partnership. (it should be	
issued a declaration by each partner	
involved in the proposed partnership	
reflecting the componence of the	
partnership, as proposed); Criminal	
record of the legal representative of	
newly proposed partner;	
- Declaration of Eligibility;	





European Regional	evelopment rund	
	- Declaration of Commitment in	
	accordance with the proposed	
	revised partnership (only by the new	
	partners and by the partners with	
	proposed increase of the budget);	
	- Decision for appointing the	
	representatives in the project	
	management team for the proposed	
	partner (if the case);	
	- Other relevant documents	
	requested by the JS;	
	Attention! The drafts for addendum	
	to the subsidy will not be submitted in	
	first stage! If submitted, they will not	
	be considered.	
	Clarifications and additional	
	documents, if necessary, may be	
	requested by JS during the eligibility	
	verification process. Following the JS	
	analysis if the new Partner is eligible	
	based on the provisions from GfA, the	
	JS will allow the LB to update the	
	Application Form.	
	JS will draft a report that will be	
	submitted to the MA together with all	
	relevant supporting documents. After	
	the MA consent, the JS will submit the	





European Regional Development Fund	d	
European Regional Development Fund	 request for partnership amendment to the MC. After MC approval/ rejection of the Request for partnership amendment, JS will notify the Lead Beneficiary accordingly. If the Request for partnership amendment will be approved by MC, then, afterthe JS notification letter, there will be proceeded to the second stage of verification. will draft the addendum and send it for signing to 	
	the MA. The second stage of verification is represented by formalizing the approved Request for partnership amendment into an addendum. Lead Beneficiary will submit a Request for addendum to JS, including the drafts for addendum	
	to the subsidy contract, in 2 originals, in 2 originals), and partnership agreement (in 1 original), Decision regarding the availability of own resources and ensuring the temporary	





	Eu	ropean Regional Development Fund			
			availability of funds until they are		
			reimbursed by the Programme.		
			For the new approved partner(s),		
			within the addendums, there will		
			be set new decommitment		
			targets, with distinct deadlines,		
			calculated according to		
			methodology indicated at chapter		
			17. Starting with the date last party		
			signed the addendum to the		
			subsidy contract, the partnership		
			amendment will be enforced.		
			Afterwards, a Modification request		
			in eMS will be submitted, in order		
			to operate in the system the		
			approved addendum related to		
			partnership amendment.		
30.	Ch.2, 2.5,	Content	Attention! The drafts for addendum	-	The text is no longer
	page 28	modification	to the subsidy will not be submitted in		relevant, as the paper
		mouncation	first stage! If submitted, they will not		version is eliminated.
			be considered.		
31.	Ch.2, 2.5,	Content	e) extension of the	e) extension of the implementation	In order to be more
	page 30	modification	implementation period:	period:	flexible and to accelerate
		mouncution	The LB can submit only Addenda to	The LB can submit only Addenda to	0
			extend the implementation period,	extend the implementation period, case	addenda.
			case in which the approval of the	in which the approval of the MA/MC is	







		Iropean Regional Development Fund		-	
			MA/MC is needed. The MA's approval is needed for extending the project implementation period with up to 3 months , while periods exceeding 3 months or exceeding the maximum eligible implementation period (as set by the relevant Guide for Applicants) need the MC's approval.	needed. The MA's approval is needed for extending the project implementation period, until the limit set up by the relevant Guide for Applicants while exceeding the maximum eligible implementation period (as set by the relevant Guide for Applicants) need the MC's approval.	
32.	Ch.2, 2.5, page 30	Discarded/delet ed text	 f) modification of the positions included in the project management team: In case the positions in the project management team are part of the annexes to the SC; Modification of the responsibilities for the project management team where these are stated in the annexes of the SC. In all cases, the beneficiaries shall justify the necessity and opportunity of the staff positions/workload changes for the project implementation. 		Modifications to the management team are part of modifications with approval.





		Ed	ropean Regional Development Fund			
33.	Ch.2,	2.5,	Content	In maximum 3 working days upon	After receiving and signing the	
	page 31		modification	receipt of approval of the Request of	Addendum, the LB will upload it to the	eMS.
			mounication	modification in writing from MA/MC,	eMS - "Attachments" section and the JS	
				the LB has to ask JS permission to	will finalize the modification in the eMS.	
				operate the changes in eMS .	The two copies of the addendum are to	
				After receiving and signing the	be distributed as follows:	
				Addendum, the LB will upload it to	a. one for the Lead Beneficiary;	
				the eMS - "Attachments" section in	b. one (with internal MRDPA visas)	
				maximum 3 working days . The two	for the MA;	
				copies of the addendum are to be	The JS verifies if the modification and	
				distributed as follows:	supporting documents uploaded in the	
				a. one for the Lead Beneficiary;	system correspond with the ones already	
				b. one (with internal MRDPA	checked on paper and, if so, it approves	
				visas) for the MA;		
				Attention! Any extension of this	them in the system.	
				deadline (3 working days) until the end		
				date of the reporting period is possible		
				based on JS approval, when a		
				"Modification request" in eMS is		
				necessary and especially if there is a		
				Partner/Project Progress Report in		
				progress in the system and the		
				approved contract modifications have		
				no impact on the respective Report.		
				After the approval of the MA, the JS		
				will allow the LB to operate the		
				necessary modifications on the AF in		
				the eMS. The changes should be		





	Eu	ropean Regional Development Fund			
			operated in maximum 3 working days . The necessary steps to operate modification in the eMS are detailed in "Reporting in eMS Manual for Lead Partners and Project Partners". The JS verifies if the modification and supporting documents uploaded in the system correspond with the ones already checked on paper and, if so, it approves them in the system.		
34.	Ch.3, 3.1, page 37	Text insertion	-	The provisions of the Guide for applicants that refer to the implementation of the projects can be modified by a Decision of the Monitoring Committee.	The Guide for Applicants can be modified through MC Decision.
35.	Ch.3, 3.1, page 38	Information update	- is recommended to be requested for reimbursement as early as possible after signing of the subsidy contract, only in the first reimbursement claim within Project Progress Report 0.1, dedicated exclusively to preparations costs, no later than the end date of the first reporting period	- is recommended to be requested for reimbursement as early as possible after signing of the subsidy contract, only in the first Project Progress Report 0.1, dedicated exclusively to preparations costs, no later than the end date of the first reporting period	Harmonization of used terminology.





			opean Regional Development Fund			
36.	-	3.1,	Discarded/delet	> For expenditures <i>above</i> EUR 2,500	-	Simplification measures.
	page 40		ed text	(excluding VAT):		
				a. The beneficiaries must comply		
				with the relevant national		
				legislation as presented below		
				(for RO and HU beneficiaries).		
				b. The value and the complexity of		
				the service should be reflected		
				in the specification and		
				breakdown of the terms of		
				reference, as well as in the		
				respective offer.		
				c. Any procurement above EUR		
				2,500 net, but below the		
				national public procurement		
				threshold (in case of HU		
				beneficiaries) and for RO		
				beneficiaries (private		
				beneficiaries that are not		
				contracting authority) will be		
				based on a market price		
				justification (at least 3		
				comparable bids, in written form,		
				<i>with the same objects</i>) and will be	<u> </u>	





	24	ropean Regional Development Fund			
			widely publicized, through at		
			least the following channels: the		
			Programme's website. The		
			announcement will be		
			published prior to launching the		
			procurement process. As an		
			exception, this rule is not		
			mandatory for HU beneficiaries		
			(Public Authorities/ Institutions)		
			and for RO beneficiaries acting		
			as Public Authorities/		
			Institutions (for whom the		
			provisions of Law 98/2016		
			apply). Therefore, only the		
			Private beneficiaries from HU		
			and RO- the beneficiaries that		
			are not contracting authorities		
			according to legal provisions,		
			shall comply with this rule.		
37.	Ch.3, 3.2,	Content	<i>If the beneficiaries will purchase directly</i>	If the beneficiaries will purchase supplies,	Simplification measures.
	page 41	modification	supplies, services and works outside	services and works outside SICAP, through direct award, under the	
			SICAP under conditions of art. 43,	conditions of art. 43, paragraph (3) of GD	
			paragraph (3) of GD 395/2016, they		





	Lu	ropean Regional Development Fund			
			must perform and document the execution of adequate market searches: proper justification of the estimated cost, the terms of references, at least 3 valid, comparable, independent offers with the same object, indicating the exact prices and the documented summary, including the justification of the selection of the winning bid.	document the execution of adequate market searches, in the situations identified by the before mentioned legal provision . In any case, proper justification of the estimated cost, terms of references (<i>if the case</i>), and the relevant number of bids provided by law (<i>if applicable, in accordance with the</i> <i>thresholds stipulated under art. 43,</i> <i>paragraph (3) of GD 395/2016</i>) should be presented. If the legal requirements stipulate the necessity of presenting more comparable and independent offers, these should indicate the exact prices and the documented summary/ object of the direct award, and should be accompanied by the official/ documented justification of the selection of the winning bid.	
38.	Ch.3, 3.2, page 41	Discarded/delet ed text	If the conditions are not met, they will use the terms and definitions regulated below, taking also into consideration the provisions of subchapter 7.2.2 of this Manual.	-	Clarification
39.	Ch. 3, 3.3.2 , page 41	Content modification	Public institutions acting as contracting authorities according to national law, will apply the provision	Public institutions acting as contracting authorities according to national law, will	In order to be in line with the RO national law.





European Re	gional Development Fund		
	of Law 98/2016 on public procurement.	apply the provision of Law 98/2016 on public procurement.	
	If the beneficiaries will purchase directly supplies, services and works outside SICAP under conditions of art. 43, paragraph (3) of GD 395/2016, they must perform and document the execution of adequate market searches: proper justification of the estimated cost, the terms of references, at least 3 valid, comparable, independent offers with the same object, indicating the exact prices and the documented summary, including the justification of the selection of the winning bid. -> Private beneficiaries that purchase services or works, will apply the provision of Law 98/2016 on public procurement, hereinafter named law, if the conditions foreseen	the provisions of subchapter 7.2.2 of this	Annex 4 was introduced concerning procurement procedures for private RO beneficiaries that replaces







			at art. 6 of law are fulfilled cumulatively.		the entire sub-chapter 3.3.2.
40.	Ch. 3, 3.3.3 , page 43	Sub-title modification	3.3.3 Specific rules in case of Hungarian beneficiaries/ private applicants/ beneficiaries		Simplification/clarification measure
41.	Ch.3, 3.5, page 55	Content modification	Note: All your duties referring to reporting are mentioned in the SC, art 6, paragraph 10.	Note: All your duties referring to reporting are mentioned in the SC, art. 5 and art. 6.	To be in line with the new format of Subsidy contract.
42.	Ch. 3, 3.4 , page 53	Content modification	The PBs shall request beforehand the approval of the JS (RO beneficiaries) or IP (HU beneficiaries) on all information and communication materials developed under the project, in line with the Visual Identity Manual.	The Project Beneficiaries are strongly advised to request ex-ante approval of the JS / IPs on all information and communication materials developed under the project. In case the ex-ante approval of information materials has not been received, the beneficiary, on his own risk, shall ask for such endorsement before the related expenditure is validated by the FLC. The approval is conditioned by the compliance of the materials with the Programme relevant rules	According to https://interreg- rohu.eu/en/new- acceleration-and- simplification-actions-for- projects/ point 4., ex- post approval is also possible, conditioned by the compliance with the VIM;







	Eu	ropean Regional Development Fund					
43.	Ch. 3, 3.4	Content	The request	for a	pproval/	The request for approval/ endorsement,	According to
	, page 53	modification	endorsement,	containing	the	containing the templates of the materials	https://interreg-
			templates of the	materials		is recommended to be sent at least 15	<u>rohu.eu/en/new-</u>
			shall be sent at le	east 15 worki	ing days	working days prior to their release or	acceleration-and-
			prior to their rele	ase or use.		use.	simplification-actions-for-
							<u>projects/</u> point 4., ex-
							post approval is also
							possible, conditioned by
							the compliance with the
							VIM; therefore, we should
							change the obligation in
							the PIM and make it a
							recommendation instead.
							In order to implement a
							more flexible approach,
							and reduce the
							administrative burden on
							the Beneficiaries (thus
							supporting faster
							implementation), it is
							recommended to give
							Beneficiaries multiple
							options (eMS AND e-mail)
							to request approval on
							communication materials.





Romania-Hungary

44.	Ch.3, 3.2, page 53	Content modification	Beneficiaries shall forward templates/ visual plans of press releases, leaflets, booklets, billboards, etc., via eMS e- mail section.	Beneficiaries shall forward templates/ visual plans of press releases, leaflets, booklets, billboards, etc., via email or eMS e-mail section	Simplification measures. MC Decision 49
45.	Ch. 3, 3.4 , page 54	Content modification	During the clarification process, the approval period will be interrupted/discontinued until the revised version of the materials will be resent by the beneficiary to JS/IP, via eMS e-mail. In exceptional cases (e.g., the eMS is not operational, the system cannot be accessed over a longer period of time, etc.) the request for ex-ante approval of information and communication materials can be performed outside of the eMS. In this case, information and communication materials can be approved by email, with respect to the same time limits as described above.		
46.	Ch. 3, 3.4 , page 54	Content modification	Beneficiaries shall upload basic information about upcoming project	Beneficiaries are encouraged to upload basic information about upcoming	According to https://interreg- rohu.eu/en/new-





 			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	events (trainings, conferences,	project events (trainings, conferences,	acceleration-and-
	festivals, etc.) to the designated	festivals, etc.) to the designated project	simplification-actions-for-
	project events calendar and	events calendar on the Programme	projects/ point 4., ex-post
	information regarding launched	website. Information regarding launched	approval is also possible,
	public procurements to the project	procurements shall also be uploaded by	conditioned by the
	public procurement section, both	private institutions to the project public	compliance with the VIM; therefore, we should
	available on the Programme website.	procurement section on the Programme	change the obligation in
		website, as stipulated in Chapters 3.3.2	the PIM and make it a
		(RO beneficiaries) of the present Manual,	recommendation instead.
		Annex 4.	
		AIII (27 4.	In order to implement a
			more flexible approach,
			and reduce the
			administrative burden on
			the Beneficiaries (thus
			supporting faster
			implementation), it is
			recommended to give
			Beneficiaries multiple
			options (eMS AND e-mail)
			to request approval on
			communication materials.





	1	ropean Regional Development Fund		I	r
47.	Ch. 3, 3.4 , page 54	Content modification	Furthermore, information regarding the upcoming project events or major project	Furthermore, information regarding the upcoming project events or major project meetings have to be communicated towards the JS/ IP, through invitations sent out to the relevant monitoring officer or to joint.secretariat@brecoradea.ro email address.	In order to implement a more flexible approach, and reduce the administrative burden on the Beneficiaries (thus supporting faster implementation), it is recommended to give Beneficiaries multiple options to request approval on communication materials.
48.	Ch. 3, 3.4 , page 54	Content modification	-	In case the cost of an event is submitted for approval to first level control, it is compulsory to attach photographs taken at the event, showing the compulsory elements described in the Visual Identity Manual.	Improvement of the FLC verification of visibility materials and events.
49.	Ch. 3, 3.5.3, page 57	Content modification	In case the Partner Report doesn't contain expenditures, the report will be submitted directly to the LB.	In case the Partner Report doesn't contain expenditures, the report will be submitted directly to the LB by all Project Partners, including the Lead Beneficiary, in maximum 15 calendar days from the end date of reporting period.	In order clarify the reporting process.





50.	Ch. 3, 3.5.3,	Content	_	In case of the Concept Notes, JS will notify	In order to speed up the
	page 59	modification		MA about the	reporting process.
	P			completion/implementation of a Concept	
				Note (first phase of FSP projects within	
				the Restricted Calls) after the selection of	
				its Full Application (second phase of FSP	
				projects within the Restricted Calls). Also,	
				the final payment, for the last project	
				report submitted within Concept Note	
				phase will be carried out only after this	
				Notification.	
51.	Ch.3, 3.5,	Content	-	The Partner Reports that contain no	To clarify the reporting
	page 67	modification		expenditure shall be submitted to the LB	process.
				in maximum 15 calendar days from the	
				end date of the reporting period. In case	
				also the LB has not incurred expenditure	
				within the reporting period, it shall also	
				create a report and submit it to itself	
				within the same deadline.	
52.	Ch.3, 3.5.4,		MA verifies the claim for	MA verifies the Project Progress/Financial	Correction. There is no
	page 52		reimbursement included in the	Report submitted by the JS in maximum	reimbursement claim.
			Project Progress Report submitted by	30 days from official registration at	
			the JS in maximum 30 days from		
			official registration at the MA (this		
			deadline may be suspended in case		
			acadime may be suspended in case	clarifications/documents are needed).	





			additional clarifications/documents are needed).		
53.	Ch.4, 4.2, page 66	Content modification	own procedures and Common FLC Manual ¹ , 100% of the expenditures incurred and paid within the reporting period, on the	Manual ² , 100% of the expenditures included by the beneficiaries in the partner reports ³ , on the basis of invoices, payrolls, other supporting	Simplification measures.
54.	Ch.4, 4.2, page 67	Content modification	-	During the validation process, the total amount of the budget line shall prevail and not the estimated amounts for each reporting period!); The controllers will take into account the total amount of the budget line and the unitary prices/values mentioned in the budget description, and not the estimated amounts for each reporting period!	Uniform approach for all bodies involved in validation/reimbursemen t process of the expenditure.

¹ Common FLC Manual is available at <u>www.interreg-rohu.eu.</u>

² Common FLC Manual is available at <u>www.interreg-rohu.eu.</u>

³ The expenditures have to respect all the eligibility rules described at chapter 3.1.



European Regional Development Fund



Ch.4, 4.2, **Note:** The FLC Controllers can choose **Note:** The FLC Controllers may opt to Clarification in case of 55. Content NOT to verify an expenditure item (e.g. suspend the validation of certain page 74 modification fraud suspicion needs further clarification). Any item *expenditure, by using the "sitting-duck* that is NOT ticked 'Verified by FLC', is "option (e.g. needs further clarification). NOT included in the current FLC Report *Any item that is NOT ticked Verified by FLC'. is NOT included in the current FLC* and Certificate. By not ticking the checkbox 'Verified by FLC', FLC *Report and Certificate. By not ticking the* controllers generate an item that is checkbox 'Verified by FLC', FLC controllers *neither accepted nor rejected and waits* generate an item that is neither accepted in the system to be verified ('Sitting nor rejected and waits in the system to be Duck') and decided upon in later verified ('Sitting Duck') and decided upon in later reports. reports. *Note:* The" sitting duck" option will be used also in case of an irregularity / fraud suspicion is subject to MA, OLAF or other institution's verification. Introduction of elements Ch.5, 5.4, 56. Content However, the need to respect State However, the need to respect State aid modification regarding state-aid. page 102 legislation in the overall implementation legislation in the overall aid implementation of the Programme of the Programme and its projects, make and its projects, make State aid an State aid an aspect to be taken into aspect to be taken into consideration consideration in the reporting of in the reporting and monitoring of all awarded state aid/de minimis aid and monitoring of all projects selected under projects.





	EC	Iropean Regional Development Fund			
			For projects approved as having	Calls that implied the State aid	
			State aid incidence (including	assessment step.	
			projects approved under the De minimis scheme):	The monitoring of projects approved	
				without State aid incidence will be	
				performed by the Programme at the end	
				of implementation and in the	
				sustainability period (5 years after the	
				financial closure of the project), in order	
				to assess the preservation of the initial	
				conditions/compliance with SA assessors'	
				recommendations.	
				Projects approved as having State aid incidence (including projects approved under the De minimis scheme):	
57.	Ch.5, 5.5, page 104	Content modification	The respect of the State aid legislation is subject to the FLC, which will ensure a sound verification on State aid, based on specific checklists.	Observing the State aid legislation during the implementation period of the project is subject to the FLC, which will ensure a sound verification on State aid related	Introduction of elements regarding state-aid.





	to fill in the relevant Declaration for the Ip under which the project has been selected. Templets of such Declarations will be uploaded to the Programme's site in the FLC packages (annex 2 and annex 3).	
	In case of Romanian Beneficiaries FLC Package includes the declaration templates for IP 6/c, 7/c, 8/b and 9/a. Based on the declaration, the relevant section of the FLC checklist will be filled- in during verification of expenditures.	
	In case of Hungarian Beneficiaries FLC package includes the declaration templates for IP 6/c, 7/c and 8/b Based on the declaration, the relevant section of the FLC checklist will be filled-in during verification of expenditures.	





58.	Ch.5, 5.5, page 104	Content modification	-	 If necessary, the recovery of unlawful/misused direct or indirect state aid will be based on procedures⁴ agreed between the 2 Member States. 	Introduction of elements regarding state-aid.
59.	Ch.7, 7.7.2, page 113	Content modification	A. For procurements below the national legal threshold, but above EUR 2,500 euro net		Simplification measures
60.	Ch.7, 7.7.2, page 113	Content modification of the footnote	¹ Direct procurements are acquisitions of services, goods or works with values that are below the national thresholds, as regulated by the specific legislation. The Programme demands for procurements above EUR 2,500 net, to be based on a "3 similar offers" procedure (see also chapter <i>3.3 Public</i> <i>procurement</i> of this Manual).	¹ Direct procurements are acquisitions of services, goods or works with values that are below the national thresholds, as regulated by the specific legislation.	Simplification measures
61.	Ch.7, 7.7.2, page 114	Content modification	Table no. 2. Procurements below the national legal threshold, but above EUR 2,500 euro net	Table no. 2. Procurements below the national legal threshold	Simplification measures

⁴ E.g. Memorandum of Implementation







		ropean Regional Development Fund				
62.	Ch.7, 7.7.2, page 116	Discarded/delet ed text	 The beneficiary shall make public the information on its website and on the Programme site, if the beneficiary does not have one: The information shall be posted in 10 days from the contract signing on the beneficiary site. The request for publishing the information on Programme site (together with the relevant information) is submitted to JS in 8 days from the contract signing. 	-	The provisions are no correlated with an requirement set a Chapter 3.3.	
63.	Ch.7, 7.7.2, page 127	Discarded/delet ed text	 The beneficiary shall publish all the contract information that is not publically sensitive (according to national provisions). The beneficiary shall made public the information on its website or on the Programme site, if the beneficiary does not have one: The information shall be posted in 10 days from the contract signing on the beneficiary site. The request for publishing the information on Programme site (together with the relevant 		The provisions are no correlated with an requirement set a Chapter 3.3.	





			information) is submitted to JS in 8 days from the contract signing.		
64.	10. ANNEXES List, page 160.	Change of annexes	10. ANNEXES Annex.1 - De minimis Declaration Annex.2 - GBER Declaration	Annex. 1.A - De minimis Declaration Template Annex. 1.B - GBER Declaration Template Annex 4 - Procedure for Romanian private beneficiaries regarding the assignment of supplies, services and works contracts financed within Interreg V-A Romania-Hungary Programme	Clarification related to the declarations. Introduction of Annex 4 related to the procedures for RO private beneficiaries.